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### ACRONYMS & SHORT FORMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>Biodiversity Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>Convention on Biological Diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBDR</td>
<td>Common but differentiated responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITES</td>
<td>Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Convention on Migratory Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP</td>
<td>Conference of the Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILA</td>
<td>International Law Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>International Plant Protection Convention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITPGR</td>
<td>International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA</td>
<td>The Nature Diversity Act of Norway, referring to Act of 19 June 2009 No. 100 relating to the management of biological, geological and landscape diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPA</td>
<td>The National Environment Protection Act, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGOs</td>
<td>Non Governmental Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsar</td>
<td>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance for Waterfowl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANBI</td>
<td>South African National Biodiversity Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPOV</td>
<td>International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHC</td>
<td>UNESCO World Heritage Convention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

“Future Just” laws should embody the highest standard of sustainability, respect for human rights, and respect for the environment and should, in both intention and effect, work to defend the interests of future generations in a pragmatic manner based on principles of justice and sustainability.

This training material presents an approach to drafting and preparing “Future Just” biodiversity laws for a technical audience, with the aim of supporting national focal point officials or other government officials tasked with preparing cross-sectoral biodiversity legislation. The materials were written recognizing that many of users will be from countries that have not developed comprehensive biodiversity laws, but do have sectoral measures in place to protect biodiversity (e.g. wildlife, wetlands and protected areas legislation).

The authors propose a process for drafting a cross-sectoral biodiversity that involves determining the existence and relevance of relevant laws, collecting relevant scientific information on biodiversity, and deciding upon the substance of new cross-sectoral legislation. In addition, the process emphasizes the need for an ongoing review, feedback and learning process after the law is adopted.

The materials begin with guiding principles for drafting legislation. These principles are drawn from existing biodiversity laws at the national level and experience in implementing the CBD. Concrete examples are provided to illustrate some of the procedural and substantive elements. First, it presents principles relevant for the drafting of environmental laws in general. After that, guiding principles for the process and the substantive issues to be addressed are presented, taking into account the particularities of this field of environmental law. Principles for monitoring the implementation of the Law are discussed. Lastly, the process should be guided by international sustainable development law principles. A table with relevant questions to ask is included as an annex.

Finally, the content and drafting process should be consistent with the legal, social, economic and environmental conditions of a country and take into account all relevant international obligations, in particular, but not limited to, the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). Other relevant treaties could include, depending on the State, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), United Nations Convention on Combating Desertification and Land Degradation (UNCCD), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on Wetlands (Ramsar), International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC), International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV), and FAO International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA), UNESCO World Heritage Convention (WHC), and the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS).
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From the perspective of future justice, the global reduction of biodiversity, degradation of ecosystems and extinction of species is highly detrimental to the rights of future generations. The *Convention on Biological Diversity*\(^1\) (CBD) was adopted in 1992 in order to address the common interest of humankind in ensuring that threats to biodiversity are controlled. Countries have worked to implement the provisions of the CBD since its entry into force in 1993, but due to the CBD's nature as a framework treaty with mainly aspirational goals, it has been difficult to objectively measure whether parties have implemented its provisions by law, policy or other measures.

Parties first adopted the 2010 Biodiversity Target, which aimed for a halt in biodiversity loss. Yet, the global community failed to meet this goal in time. With this lack of success in mind, Parties adopted the Strategic Plan on Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the twenty Aichi Biodiversity Targets. Five years into the implementation of the Strategic Plan, States are not on course to meet many of the Aichi Targets. Most States are not doing enough to implement the terms of the CBD in substance and effect. It is clear that countries need guidance on how to achieve conservation, sustainability, benefit-sharing and intergenerational equity in law.

Ongoing negotiations since the adoption of the CBD have led to greater clarity on how its terms should be interpreted. Greater legal clarity to the provisions of the CBD has been brought by the adoption of three Protocols: the *Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety*\(^2\) (Cartagena Protocol) and its *Nagoya—Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress*\(^3\) (Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Protocol), and the *Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits resulting from their Utilization*\(^4\) (Nagoya ABS Protocol) as well as with the adoption of several Guidelines on topics such as Biodiversity and Tourism,\(^5\) Indigenous Peoples,\(^6\) Invasive Alien Species,\(^7\) Sustainable Use,\(^8\) Biodiversity Impact Assessment,\(^9\) and The Ecosystem Approach.\(^10\) Designing biodiversity laws and policies to benefit future generations will require a holistic implementation of the vision of the CBD.

### 1. Fundamentals of Drafting

The following principles should guide the legislative drafting process. Some are also relevant for defining the content of the law.

- Assess the nation's biodiversity and biological resources, identify current impacts on domestic and transboundary biodiversity and ecosystems, locate drivers of biodiversity loss and evaluate the possibilities for halting biodiversity loss.
- Establish clear goals in advance to inform and inspire the provisions of the Biodiversity Laws and Policies. The development of goals provides relevant guidance for the selection and drafting of the instruments and mechanisms to be included in the law in accordance with a country’s vision and priorities.
- Ensure that a broad set of stakeholders participates in the drafting of the biodiversity law, including government officials from the key government ministries (such as environment, agriculture, forests, transport, fisheries, trade, finance, economics) and representatives from indigenous peoples, academics, peasants, civil society and the private sector.
• Identify certain domestic policy areas and laws that might be counterproductive for the biodiversity. Such areas need to be addressed in the law or repealed accordingly through other laws or instruments.
• Identify existing international obligations, including any relevant CBD decisions and guidelines, and existing domestic laws and policies in place relating to biodiversity. A specific legal analysis of the issues to be addressed in the biodiversity law vis-à-vis the rest of the existing legal framework is a common feature in designing good laws and policies, including through the identification of loopholes and areas where enabling clauses are needed to allow future development of regulations and policies. International conventions relevant for biodiversity conservation and sustainable use include: Ramsar; CMS; UNCCD; UNFCCC; CITES; World Heritage Convention; UNCLOS; ILO Convention 169; and any relevant regional or bilateral environmental instruments.

2. Substantive Legal Aspects

In addition to the procedural aspects, the following substantive issues should be assessed and considered for inclusion

• Establish strong governance over components of biological diversity with national, regional and local biodiversity commissions/units with participatory, transparent, and accountable decision-making.
• Integrate biodiversity into all policies and actions and including linkages to other policies, such as those concerning climate change, forestry, land use, agriculture and marine management policies.
• Establish balance and linkages between measures undertaken to fulfil CBD objectives on conservation, sustainable use and access and benefit sharing arising out of the utilization of genetic resources.
• Implement all the relevant biodiversity related obligations (International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar, CITES, etc.) in a synergistic manner, including by obtaining appropriate information on relevant COP decisions and other developments.
• Ensure comprehensiveness of the biodiversity law (content and scope cover most of the relevant CBD provisions), taking into consideration the existent legal framework governing biodiversity.
• Outline the roles and responsibilities of all relevant stakeholders, including government, business and citizens, in halting and reverting biodiversity loss in the interest of current and future generations.
• Establish in-situ and ex-situ biodiversity conservation measures, including protected area management and ecosystems protection.
• Create schemes that enable, integrate and reward the sustainable use of biodiversity, such as payment for ecosystem services.
• Develop an appropriate set of instruments to monitor compliance with the general objectives, addressing key topics such as invasive species.
• Protect, promote and ensure fair and equitable benefit sharing from the use of traditional knowledge, innovations and practices pertaining to biodiversity.
• Recognize the role of indigenous peoples and local communities in biodiversity management and conservation.
• Include the following interpretive principles for statutory interpretation and implementation:
  1. Precautionary Principle: If there is a risk of serious or irreversible damage to biodiversity, lack of knowledge shall not be used as a reason for postponing or not introducing management measures.
  2. Ecosystem approach: Any pressure on an ecosystem shall be assessed on the basis of the cumulative environmental effects on ecosystems now and in the future.
3. Preventive approach: Preventing harm by limiting activities like the use of chemicals and alien invasive species to prevent deleterious effects to biodiversity.

- Implement an access and benefit-sharing regime meeting, at minimum, the terms of the Nagoya ABS Protocol.
- Establish safeguards to protect biodiversity from the risks of genetically modified organisms, respecting the provisions of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety and the Nagoya—Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress.
- Develop mechanisms to provide for awareness raising, education, incentives and technology transfer, such as public awareness raising and education and training programmes in schools and universities to increase knowledge, valorization, skills and experience necessary to address biodiversity challenges.
- Create mechanisms for public participation and access to justice in the development and implementation of the biodiversity law, including instruments to provide feedback from the different stakeholders.
- Include information mechanisms, including research measures need to be included to ensure timely and up to date information on the state and trends of biodiversity in co-ordination with international and regional bodies to adjust to new challenges and inform the public.

3. Additional Tools for Implementation, Monitoring and Revision

The following substantive tools should be considered in order to promote the appropriate implementation, monitoring, and revision of the law.

- Create innovative financing mechanisms that are integrated laws or policies to ensure the effective long term implementation of the law and CBD objectives. These mechanisms can serve the following strategic objectives:
  1. Promoting payment for ecosystem services;
  2. Undertaking environmental fiscal reforms including innovative taxation models and fiscal incentives;
  3. Exploring opportunities presented by promising innovative financial mechanisms such as markets for green products, business-biodiversity partnerships and new forms of charity markets for green products, business-biodiversity partnerships and new forms of charity;
  4. Integrating biodiversity and ecosystem services into the development of new and innovative types of international development finance, taking into account the costs of conservation.\(^{11}\)
- Establish strong legal measures and an appropriate institutional structure with the power to oversee the implementation of the Law. Sanctions and penalties should be created for non-compliance because strong governance can ensure the sustainable use of biological diversity.
- Ensure the participation of a broad set of stakeholders in implementation, monitoring and revision of the biodiversity law, including government officials from key government ministries and representatives from indigenous, academic, peasant, civil and private sectors.
- Create a review or update process for the law or policy and collect information on progress in implementation of the law and the status of biodiversity.
- Develop targets in line with the five goals of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and its 20 Aichi Biodiversity Targets agreed upon at COP 10 to the CBD.
Seven sustainable development law principles can be distilled from the international processes on sustainable development and the hundreds of international treaties signed in the past 40 years. Those tasked with drafting laws or policies should use them as a guide to ensure that the needs of future generations are taken into account. They help focus attention on optimal sustainable development objectives and outcomes of the law or policy, as well as on governance structures and processes to ensure effective implementation.

The 70th Conference of the International Law Association elaborated these seven principles in the “Declaration of Principles of International Law Relating to Sustainable Development” (the ILA Principles) in 2002 as a definitive tool to inform the formulation of law and policy. The ILA Principles provide the most current benchmark of important principles of international law on sustainable development. The principles, many of which were present in the Brundtland Report (1987) and the 1992 Rio Declaration, are the central principles of most international treaties related to sustainable development. They were adopted by decision makers at the 2002 World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) and provide a valuable compilation of principles against which laws and policies on biodiversity can be assessed. They are shown in the following diagram and are discussed in greater length below.

The seven principle methodology assists in crafting policies and laws that show a truly integrated approach to sustainability, foster respectful co-operation, equitable participation, and fair sharing of resources and benefits of economic, scientific and technological progress. This is particularly appropriate given that the ILA Principles are consistent with the 2002 Johannesburg Plan of Implementation of the World Summit on Sustainable Development. The Principles help to focus decision makers’ attention on expected outcomes as well as on governance structures and processes conducive to their effective implementation.
1. Sustainable use of natural resources

Countries have the duty to sustainably use their natural resources, particularly when those resources span national boundaries. This stems from their sovereign right to manage their own natural resources, and their responsibility to ensure that activities within their jurisdiction or control do not cause significant environmental damage elsewhere. The sustainable use of natural resources should contribute to the development of peoples, with particular regard for indigenous peoples, and to nature conservation and environmental protection. This duty is reflected in the CBD and is elevated in importance because biodiversity is a common concern of humankind and underlies the planet’s life support systems. States are responsible for conserving their biodiversity and using their biological resources in a sustainable manner. Parties are required under Article 6 to take two general measures that relate to sustainable use:

a) Develop national strategies, plans or programmes for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity or adapt existing strategies, plans or programmes that reflect relevant measures set out in the CBD
b) Integrate, as far as possible and as appropriate, the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity into relevant sectoral or cross-sectoral plans, programmes and policies

Furthermore, Article 10 requires Parties to take five specific measures to support the sustainable use of components of biological diversity:

a) integrate consideration of the conservation and sustainable use of biological resources into national decision-making
b) adopt measures relating to the use of biological resources to avoid or minimize adverse impacts on biological diversity
c) protect and encourage customary use of biological resources in accordance with traditional cultural practices that are compatible with conservation or sustainable use requirements
d) support local populations to develop and implement remedial action in degraded areas where biological diversity has been reduced
e) encourage co-operation between its governmental authorities and its private sector in developing methods for sustainable use of biological resources

2. Equity and poverty eradication

The principle of inter-generational and intra-generational equity is central to the attainment of Future Justice and sustainable development. While the present generation has a right to use and enjoy the resources of the Earth, it is also under an obligation to take into account the long-term impact of its activities and to sustain the resource base and the global environment for the benefit – in its broadest meaning – of future generations. The right to development must be implemented so as to meet developmental and environmental needs of present and future generations in a sustainable and equitable manner. This includes exercising the duty to co-operate for the eradication of poverty, as well as the duty to co-operate for global sustainable development and the attainment of equity in the development opportunities of developed and developing countries.

3. Precautionary approach to health, natural resources and ecosystems

The precautionary approach to human health, natural resources and ecosystems requires that where there are threats of serious or irreversible damage, lack of full scientific certainty is not used as a reason for postponing cost-effective preventative measures. This requires States, international organizations and non-governmental
actors, in situations of scientific uncertainty, to avoid activities that may cause significant harm. It includes ensuring accountability for harm caused, planning based on clear criteria and well-defined goals, consideration of all possible means to achieve an objective when completing an environmental impact assessment, and establishing an appropriate burden of proof on the proponent of activities which may cause serious long-term or irreversible harm. Decision-making processes should always endorse a precautionary approach to risk management and the adoption of appropriate precautionary measures in particular. Precautionary measures should be based on up-to-date and independent scientific judgment and be transparent. The CBD adopts a precautionary approach to biodiversity through biosafety, EIA, and national planning.

4. Public participation, access to information and justice

Public participation and access to information and justice require states to ensure that individuals have access to appropriate, comprehensive and timely information concerning sustainable development that is held by public authorities and the opportunity to participate in decision-making processes as well as effective access to judicial and administrative proceedings, including redress and remedy. It is a basic condition for responsive, transparent and accountable governments, the active engagement of civil society organizations ensuring the vital role that women have in sustainable development. Public participation is based on the effective protection of the human right to hold and express opinions and to seek, receive and impart ideas. This is dependent upon access to appropriate, comprehensible and timely information held by governments and industrial concerns on economic and social policies regarding the sustainable use of natural resources and the protection of the environment, access that does not impose undue financial burdens upon the applicants and that gives due consideration for privacy and adequate protection of business confidentiality. Empowerment depends on access to effective judicial or administrative procedures to challenge measure and claim compensation and includes non-discriminatory access for those in other countries that are affected by transboundary harm.

5. Good governance and human security

The principle of good governance commits States and international organizations to adopt democratic and transparent decision-making procedures and financial accountability, to take effective measures to combat official or other corruption, to respect the principle of due process in their procedures, and to observe the rule of law and human rights. Civil society and non-governmental organizations also have a right to good governance by States and international organizations, while non-state actors should be subject to internal democratic governance and to effective accountability. Furthermore, good governance requires full respect for the principles of the Rio Declaration, the full participation of women in all levels of decision-making and corporate social responsibility and socially responsible investments for a fair distribution of wealth among and within communities.

6. Integration and interrelationship

The principle of integration and interrelationship provides the context for international law on sustainable development by emphasizing the interdependence between economic development, social and human rights, and environmental priorities in international law. The Rio Declaration on Environment and Development states that "[i]n order to achieve sustainable development, environmental protection shall constitute an integral part of the development process and cannot be considered in isolation from it." The 2002 Johannesburg World Summit on Sustainable Development overtly recognized that human rights and social development priorities also constitute an integral part of this balance. To respect this principle, States may seek to resolve overlaps or perceived conflicts between economic, social and environmental concerns either through the activation of existing institutions or the establishment of new ones that can balance the competing goals. It is essential that sustainable development be implemented at all sectors of society and governance.
7. Common but differentiated responsibilities

The principle of common but differentiated responsibilities (CBDR) is a manifestation of general principles of equity. States and other relevant actors have a common responsibility for the achievement of global sustainable development and protection of the environment, but each stakeholder's differing circumstances must be taken into account when examining their contribution towards those goals. All States are under a duty to co-operate in the achievement of global sustainable development and the protection of the environment; and international organizations, corporations (including in particular transnational corporations), non-governmental organizations and civil society should also be a part of this global partnership. Corporations owe responsibilities pursuant to the polluter-pays principle. Differentiation of responsibilities must also take into account the economic and developmental situation of the State, in recognition of the special needs and interests of developing countries and of countries with economies in transition, particularly least developed countries and those affected adversely by environmental, social and developmental considerations. Developed countries bear a special burden of responsibility in reducing and eliminating unsustainable patterns of production and consumption and in contributing to capacity-building in developing countries, and should play a leading role and assume primary responsibility in matters of relevance to sustainable development.

The CBD recognizes CBDR in the preamble and operative text. In the preamble, the Parties acknowledge that special provision is required to meet the needs of developing countries, including the provision of new and additional financial resources and appropriate access to relevant technologies, and note the special conditions of the least developed countries and small island States in this regard. Further, the Parties also recognize that economic and social development and poverty eradication are the first and overriding priorities of developing countries. Key provisions of the CBD are tempered by language recognizing various limitations, such as “in accordance with its particular conditions and capabilities” (Article 6) and “as far as possible and as appropriate” (inter alia Articles 7, 8, 9, 10, 11). Furthermore, the CBD recognizes differentiated responsibilities on research and training (Article 12), access to and transfer of technology (Article 16), and the handling of biotechnology and distribution of its benefits (Article 19). Lastly, the CBD prescribes differentiated provision and use of financial resources (Article 20) and the financial mechanism (Article 21).
## CHECKLIST FOR DECISIONMAKERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sustainable Use of Natural Resources</th>
<th>Does the law/policy help to ensure that the Earth’s scarce resources will be used in a more sustainable way?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does it help to address a common concern of humankind (e.g. biodiversity)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does it respect natural areas, artefacts and traditional knowledge, all of which are the common heritage of humankind?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity and Poverty Eradication</td>
<td>Does the law/policy help to address pressing poverty and human rights challenges?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does it demonstrate respect among generations by including provisions that take into account the needs and aspirations of future generations of life?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does it promote respect within the present generation of life, by promoting social justice, equity for all peoples, an end to gender discrimination, respect for the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities, eradication of poverty and less discrimination among species?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precautionary Approach to Health, Natural Resources and Ecosystems</td>
<td>Does the law/policy promote prevention and precaution in the face of scientific uncertainty about a threat of serious or irreversible harm?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does it place the burden of proof for demonstrating that a project or activity is safe, or that risks are reasonable, on the proponent of the venture?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Where there is insufficient scientific evidence, does it ensure that those most affected by a project can set the acceptable level of risk or threat?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Participation, Access to Information and Justice</td>
<td>Does the law/policy provide for public consultation and genuine engagement, in both its design and implementation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does it specifically provide for transparency and access to information for concerned citizens, local communities, and others who might be affected?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does it provide avenues for appeal and redress for citizens, communities and others?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Governance and Human Security</td>
<td>Does the law/policy establish adequate institutions to ensure transparent, prompt, effective and fair implementation of its provisions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does it promote peaceful resolution of conflict, and help to ensure that human beings are able to live in freedom from fear, and freedom from want?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does the law/policy include provisions to ensure that its intentions are not thwarted by corruption, bribery or unethical conduct, and provide appropriate penalties for abuse of rights or for misimplementation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration and Interrelationship</td>
<td>Does the law/policy integrate social justice and environmental protection into economic development plans and projects?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does it ensure that development decision-making takes environmental and social impacts into account, providing for mitigation, modification or cancellation if necessary?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does it provide or enhance benefits for the environment, and the society?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common but differentiated responsibilities</td>
<td>Does the law/policy take into account historical and other inequalities, including who has benefited from past activities and policies, when imposing obligations, and provide avenues to redress such inequalities where possible?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is the law/policy appropriate and well adapted to the society or region’s present level of technology, scientific knowledge, human/financial resources, cultural values and traditions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does the law/policy avoid placing inappropriate burdens on vulnerable groups, or imposing costs on those least equipped to bear them?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXAMPLES OF EXEMPLARY POLICIES

Costa Rica and Japan: Establishing Clear Legislative Goals, Purposes and Objectives

The Costa Rica Biodiversity Law 1998 creates a legal framework in line with the principles and themes outlined in the CBD, beginning by setting one of its goals as promoting the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity and ensuring the fair and equitable sharing of benefits derived there from. The law aims to respond to this goal in an integrated and interrelated manner. This includes recognizing the inherent value of nature, generally applicable principles of law, objectives, and criteria for applying the law. It covers both the concept of tangible elements of biodiversity, as defined by the CBD, and intangible elements such as individual or collective knowledge, innovation and practices. It puts into effect sustainable development principles, like the precautionary principle. Other elements include the expansion of the pre-existing payment for environmental services program.

The Japanese Basic Act on Biodiversity was adopted to guide the review of existing laws and aims to promote the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity, realize a society in harmony with nature, and clarify responsibilities of business, citizens and private bodies in addition to national and local governments. Its key principles are (a) preventive and adaptive approaches; (b) the importance of a long-term viewpoint and (c) the linkage with global warming measures. The Act requires national and regional governments to develop biodiversity strategies. Other elements include the promotion of biodiversity-friendly business activities, prevention of damages from alien species, rational use of biodiversity, maintaining diversity of wildlife species, prevention of damage from alien species, prevention of global warming (by conserving forests, wetlands and grassland to fix CO2), promotion of science and technology, surveys, improvement of public understanding, promotion of Environment Impact Assessment, pertaining to biodiversity at the stage of planning business plans, and ensuring international coordination and promotion of international co-operation.

Costa Rica’s Biodiversity Law 1998: An Integrated Approach to Meeting the CBD Objectives

Best practices derived from the 2010 Future Policy Award review of the Biodiversity Law include:

- The law’s comprehensive nature, which looks at the full implementation of the CBD.
- It addresses most of the relevant provisions of the CBD, and allows officials to develop further regulations and instruments for the implementation of its provisions.
- It provides a balance and link between conservation, sustainable use and fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilization of genetic and biochemical resources.
- It guarantees equity, protection of rights of indigenous peoples and local communities, and participation in the decision-making process (including the right to participate and over their knowledge).
- There are expanded and progressive interpretations of several CBD provisions (e.g. biochemicals in ABS scope and exotic/invasive species in the biosafety framework).
- Incentives and technology transfer provisions are incorporated.
- A set of guiding principles and objectives for interpretation and implementation is included, including the precautionary approach, conservation, and sustainable use.
- Strong institutions are put in place to secure the conservation, sustainable use of biodiversity as well as the fair and equitable benefit sharing.
- Awareness raising and education have a considerable importance and weight in the design of the law.
The South African National Biodiversity Institute

The objectives of South Africa’s *National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004* (NEMBA) are the management and conservation of South Africa’s biodiversity within the framework of the *National Environmental Management Act, 1998* (NEMA), the protection of species and ecosystems that warrant national protection, the sustainable use of indigenous biological resources, the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from bioprospecting involving indigenous biological resources, and the establishment and operation of a South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI).

SANBI has a number of functions, including the monitoring of biodiversity in South Africa, particularly species listed as threatened, protected, or invasive, as well as the impact of any GMOs released into the environment. It also acts as an advisory and consultative body on biodiversity-related matters to government authorities and other biodiversity stakeholders. SAMBI also manages the National Botanic Gardens of South Africa, and must establish and maintain ex-situ collections of plants in national botanical gardens and in herbaria, and establish collections of animals and micro-organisms in appropriate enclosures.

Additional duties include establishing facilities for horticulture display, environmental education, visitor amenities and research and collecting, generating, processing, coordinating and disseminating information about biodiversity and the sustainable use of indigenous biological resources. This involves establishing databases on biodiversity and its sustainable use as well as promoting research on indigenous biodiversity and the sustainable use of indigenous biological resources. SANBI is also tasked with coordinating programmes for the rehabilitation of ecosystems and the prevention, control or eradication of listed invasive species. Lastly, it must assist the Minister of Environmental Affairs in exercising legislated powers pertaining to biodiversity, including advice on listed ecosystems, implementation of the Act and any international agreements, identification of bioregions and the contents of any bioregional plans, management and conservation of biological diversity, sustainable use of indigenous biological resources, and management of, and development in, national protected areas.

Norway: integrating biodiversity considerations into the decision-making process.

The *Nature Diversity Act* lays out a broad framework for sustainable, participatory and precautionary management of biodiversity. It promotes the principle of “integration and interrelationship” by connecting the protection of diversity with support for human well-being and recognizing the importance of historical and cultural landscapes in the preservation of diversity. This includes a variety of instruments including provisions on nature protection and sustainable use of diversity, such as Priority Species and Selected Habitat Types. These instruments reflect that nature is constantly changing, allowing the NDA’s administrators to use tools beyond rigid nature conservation (i.e. protected areas) to protect biodiversity.

The Act enables the authorities to give clear signals on which species and habitat types are most important to safeguard, among other things through the provisions relating to knowledge-based management. The Act improves coordination of efforts to safeguard biological, geological and landscape diversity, since sectors that put pressure on or utilize natural resources will be required to give weight to objectives and principles (e.g. the precautionary principle, assessment of cumulative environmental effects and the user-pays principle) and rules on selected habitat types in their management activities. The procedural rule in Chapter II section 7 stating that “decisions shall state how the principles have been applied in an assessment” makes the application of the principles in public decision-making transparent and in effect making it possible to control and check the development in each sector. Failure to comply with this duty can lead to the invalidation of the decision if there is reason to believe that the failure to comply has influenced the decision.
Norway and Japan: Comprehensive Approaches to Biodiversity Regulation

The Act relating to the management of biological, geological and landscape diversity (Nature Diversity Act)\(^{25}\) (NDA) of 2009 is the primary legislation for protecting biodiversity in Norway and is meant to implement the Convention on Biological Diversity in a holistic way. Its established purpose is “to protect biological, geological and landscape diversity and ecological processes through conservation and sustainable use, and in such a way that the environment provides a basis for human activity, culture, health and well-being, now and in the future, including a basis for Sami culture”.\(^{26}\) This is a very comprehensive goal and exposes the main driving factors behind the law.

The NDA has more precise definitions than the CBD. It defines ‘biological diversity’ broadly as “ecosystem and species variability and intra-species genetic variability, and the ecological relationships between ecosystem components” and defines ‘biological, geological and landscape diversity’ in an exclusive manner: “all diversity that is not largely a result of human interference”.\(^{27}\) It also defines a ‘habitat type’ as a homogeneous environment including all plant and animal life and environmental factors that operate there, or special types of natural features such as ponds, habitat islands, and special types of geological features\(^{28}\) and an ‘area with specific ecological functions’ as one that fulfils an ecological function for a species, such as a spawning, nursery or larval drift area, migration route, feeding, moulting or overwintering area, display ground or mating area, breeding area, overwintering area or habitat, the delimitation of which may change over time.\(^{29}\)

The Japanese Basic Act on Biodiversity is unique for a number of reasons. First, it overrides all other inconsistent legislation and thus mainstreams biodiversity into all other policies. It adopts a primarily preventive approach (prevention of chemical pollution, alien species, global warming) and a long-term viewpoint. It implements the concept of Satoyama, or sustaining and restoring bio-cultural landscapes that exemplify the ideal of living fruitfully in harmony with nature. The respective responsibilities of national and local businesses, citizens, and private bodies are clear. The law integrates local efforts with those occurring through national and regional biodiversity strategies. It promotes Environmental Impact Assessments on biodiversity and promotes international co-operation and coordination. Japan’s approach to biosafety is also exemplary in that it implements the Cartagena Protocol in a holistic manner rather than by trying to adapt other laws to try and comply with its terms.
CONCLUSIONS

Designing future just and sustainable laws on biodiversity is possible and necessary and can be simplified through the application of principles of international law on sustainable development and best practices derived from national experiences with implementation. To simplify the task of creating legislation that protects the environment, the rights of future generations and pursues sustainability, a checklist for decision makers is provided above.

The objective of this paper is to assist countries in implementing these terms in a holistic and future just manner by laying out guiding principles and key features, as well as by highlighting exemplary cases of implementation.

The authors identified key aspects of a future just biodiversity law in module one above. These were distilled from their experience in the field of biodiversity law, the above country case studies and the application of principles of international law on sustainable development. For those countries looking to prepare new legislation or revise existing legislation, the following were identified as fundamental: assessment of biodiversity and biological resources, including identifying impacts on biodiversity and ecosystems, drivers of biodiversity loss and possibilities for halting the loss of biodiversity; establishing clear goals in advance to inform and inspire the provisions of laws and policies on biodiversity, thus providing guidance for the selection and drafting of instruments and mechanisms; ensuring that all relevant stakeholders are involved, including those from key government ministries, civil society and indigenous and local communities; identifying policy areas and laws that negatively affect biodiversity; identifying existing international obligations, including relevant COP decisions, as well as existing laws and policies on biodiversity; and undertaking a legal analysis of issues in light of the existing legal framework.

The substantive aspects of a future just biodiversity law should include: establishing strong governance over the components of biodiversity; integrating biodiversity into all policies and actions and establishing linkages between policies; establishing balance between measures undertaken to fulfill the three CBD objectives; implementing all relevant biodiversity related obligations in a synergistic manner; ensuring the comprehensiveness of the law; outlining the roles and responsibilities of all relevant stakeholders; recognizing the key role of indigenous peoples and local communities; establishing both in-situ and ex-situ conservation measures; creating incentive schemes for the sustainable use of biodiversity and ecosystems; developing instruments to monitor compliance; protecting, promoting and ensuring the sharing of benefits relating to the use of traditional knowledge, innovations and practices; including the precautionary principle, ecosystem approach and preventive approach as interpretive principles; implementing an ABS regime that meets the terms of the Nagoya Protocol and UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples; establishing safeguards to protect biodiversity from the risks posed by genetically modified organisms in accordance with the Cartagena Protocol and Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol; developing mechanisms for awareness raising, education, incentives and technology transfer; creating mechanisms for public participation and access to justice; and including information mechanisms in co-ordination with international and regional bodies to adjust to new challenges and inform decision-makers and the public.

Lastly, tools must be put in place to ensure the implementation, monitoring and revision of the law when necessary. The tools identified include: creating innovative financing mechanisms to ensure the long-term success of the law and related CBD objectives; establishing strong legal measures and a suitable institutional structure with the power to oversee the implementation, including sanctions and penalties for non-compliance; creating a review or update process that includes collecting information on progress in implementation and the status of biodiversity; ensuring the participation of a broad set of stakeholders in implementation, monitoring and revision; and developing targets and implementing measures to further the five goals of the CBD Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and meet its 20 Aichi Biodiversity Targets.
In conclusion, we urge all countries engaged in reversing the loss of biodiversity to adopt ambitious legal and policy measures that effectively safeguard the environment, respect human rights, and embody the highest standards of sustainability. The principles, examples and analysis provided in this paper provide a starting point and should serve as guidance to those countries seeking to engage meaningfully with one of the most complex issues of our time. Valuable lessons can be learned from the experiences of other countries in implementation. Countries will need to assess how the information provided can be best applied given their particular social, economic and ecological context. That remains to be determined by national decision makers, preferably through an open and transparent process which engages with all segments of society in a respectful manner. Only by engaging its people can any nation hope to forge a future that secures the rights of future generations.
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